arXiv:0912.4887v1 [math.AG] 24 Dec 2009

A NOTE ON MOTIVIC INTEGRATION IN MIXED CHARACTERISTIC

JOHANNES NICAISE AND JULIEN SEBAG

Abstract. We introduce a quotient of the Grothendieck ring of varieties by identifying classes of universally homeomorphic varieties. We show that the standard realization morphisms factor through this quotient, and we argue that it is the correct value ring for the theory of motivic integration on formal schemes and rigid varieties in mixed characteristic.

The present note is an excerpt of a detailed survey paper which will be published in the proceedings of the conference "Motivic integration and its interactions with model theory and non-archimedean geometry" (ICMS, 2008).

1. Introduction

The Grothendieck ring $K_0(Var_F)$ of varieties over a field F arises naturally as the universal ring of additive and multiplicative invariants of such varieties. Taking the class of a variety in the Grothendieck ring is the most general way to "measure the size" of the variety. In recent years, the Grothendieck ring of varieties has received much attention, because of its role as value ring in several theories of motivic integration.

In spite of this renewed interest, many basic questions on the structure of the Grothendieck ring remain unanswered. The main difficulty is that it may be very hard to decide whether two given varieties have distinct classes in the Grothendieck ring. The central question in this context is the one raised by Larsen and Lunts in [3, 1.2].

Question 1.1 (Larsen-Lunts)**.** *Let F be a field, and let X and Y be F-varieties such that $[X]=[Y]$ in $K_0(Var_F)$. Is it true that X and Y are piecewise isomorphic, i.e., that we can find an integer $n>0$, subvarieties $X_1,\ldots,X_n$ of X and subvarieties $Y_1,\ldots,Y_n$ of Y such that X_i is F-isomorphic to Y_i for every i in $\{1,\ldots,n\}$?*

This question has been answered affirmatively in certain cases [4][12], but it remains open in general. In the present note, we raise a different question.

Question 1.2. *Let F be a field of characteristic $p>0$. If X and Y are F-varieties such that there exists a universal homeomorphism of F-schemes $Y\to X$, is it true that $[X]=[Y]$ in $K_0(Var_F)$?*

It seems reasonable to expect that Question 1.2 has a negative answer, in general. In characteristic zero, universally homeomorphic varieties are piecewise isomorphic (Proposition 3.1). In positive characteristic, Question 1.2 is in some sense orthogonal to Question 1.1, since there are examples of universally homeomorphic F-varieties that are not piecewise isomorphic. The most basic example is the following: assume that F is imperfect, and let F' be a non-trivial finite purely inseparable extension of F. Then the morphism $\operatorname{Spec} F' \to \operatorname{Spec} F$ is a universal homeomorphism. We do not know if $[\operatorname{Spec} F'] = [\operatorname{Spec} F]$ in $K_0(Var_F)$.

For every field F, we introduce a quotient $K_0\langle Var_F\rangle$ of the Grothendieck ring of F-varieties by identifying classes of universally homeomorphic varieties (Definition 3.2). We call this quotient the modified Grothendieck ring of F-varieties. If F has characteristic zero, then the projection morphism

$$K_0(Var_F) \to K_0\langle Var_F\rangle$$

is an isomorphism (Proposition 3.3). In any characteristic, there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$K_0\langle Var_F\rangle \to K_0(ACF_F)$$

to the Grothendieck ring of the theory ACF_F of algebraically closed fields over F (Proposition 3.7). We show that the standard realization morphisms of the Grothendieck ring of varieties (étale realization, Poincaré polynomial,...) factor through the modified Grothendieck ring $K_0\langle Var_F\rangle$ (Proposition 4.1). In fact, this is what makes Question 1.2 hard to answer: we cannot use the standard realization morphisms to distinguish classes of universally homeomorphic varieties in the Grothendieck ring.

In Section 5, we fill a gap in the proof of the change of variables theorem for motivic integrals on formal schemes in mixed characteristic [11, 8.0.5]. To this aim, it is necessary to replace the Grothendieck ring of varieties by the modified version introduced in Definition 3.2. We emphasize that this correction only affects the theory of motivic integration in *mixed* characteristic; in equal characteristic $p \geq 0$, the results in [11, 8.0.5] are valid as stated. The modification is harmless for the applications of the theory, because the standard realization morphisms factor through the modified Grothendieck ring. In Sections 5.2 and 5.3, we give a list of changes that should be made to the literature.

The present note is an excerpt of a detailed survey paper, which will be published in the proceedings of the conference “Motivic integration and its interactions with model theory and non-archimedean geometry” (ICMS, 2008).

Acknowledgements. The idea of identifying classes of universally homeomorphic varieties in the Grothendieck ring has been suggested to us by A. Chambert-Loir. We are very grateful to him for this suggestion, and for many helpful discussions.

Notations. We denote by $(\cdot)_{red}$ the functor from the category of schemes to the category of reduced schemes that maps a scheme X to its maximal reduced closed subscheme X_{red}. If S is a Noetherian scheme, then an S-variety is a reduced separated S-scheme of finite type.

2. THE GROTHENDIECK RING OF VARIETIES

Let S be a Noetherian scheme. For the definition of the Grothendieck ring of S-varieties $K_0(Var_S)$ and its localization $\mathcal{M}_S$, we refer to [7, 2.1]. We recall some of the main realization morphisms. For details, the reader may consult [7, § 2.1].

Point counting. If F is a finite field, then there exists a unique ring morphism

$$\sharp : K_0(Var_F) \to \mathbb{Z}$$

that maps $[X]$ to the cardinality of the set $X(F)$ for every F-variety X.

Euler characteristic. If F is a field, and ℓ a prime invertible in F, then there exists a unique ring morphism

$$\chi_{top} : K_0(Var_F) \to \mathbb{Z}$$

that maps $[X]$ to the ℓ-adic Euler characteristic of X for every F-variety X. It localizes to a ring morphism

$$\chi_{top} : \mathcal{M}_F \to \mathbb{Z}$$

These morphisms are independent of ℓ.

Galois realization. Let F be a field, and ℓ a prime invertible in F. We fix a separable closure F^s of F. We denote by G_F the absolute Galois group of F, and by $K_0(Rep_{G_F}\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ the Grothendieck ring of ℓ-adic Galois representations of F. There exists a unique ring morphism

$$Gal : K_0(Var_F) \to K_0(Rep_{G_F}\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

that maps $[X]$ to

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2\cdot\dim(X)} (-1)^i [H^i_c(X \times_F F^s, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)] \quad \in K_0(Rep_{G_F}\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

for every F-variety X. It localizes to a ring morphism

$$Gal : \mathcal{M}_F \to K_0(Rep_{G_F}\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

Étale realization. Let ℓ be a prime, and S a Noetherian $\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$-scheme. There exists a unique ring morphism

$$\acute{e}t : K_0(Var_S) \to K_0(D^b_c(S, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))$$

that maps $[X]$ to the class of $R(g_X)_!\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ for every S-variety X, where we denote by $g_X : X \to S$ the structural morphism. It localizes to a ring morphism

$$\acute{e}t : \mathcal{M}_S \to K_0(D^b_c(S, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))$$

Poincaré realization. Let S be a Noetherian scheme. The Poincaré realization

$$P_S : K_0(Var_S) \to \mathcal{C}(S, \mathbb{Z}[T])$$

is defined in [7, 8.12]. The target $\mathcal{C}(S, \mathbb{Z}[T])$ is the ring of constructible functions on S with values in $\mathbb{Z}[T]$ [7, § 8.3].

3. The modified Grothendieck ring

3.1. **Trivializing universal homeomorphisms.** Recall that a morphism of schemes

$$f : X \to Y$$

is called a *universal homeomorphism* if for every morphism of schemes $Y' \to Y$, the morphism

$$f_{Y'} : X \times_Y Y' \to Y'$$

obtained from f by base change is a homeomorphism [1, 2.4.2]. This property is obviously stable under base change. If f is of finite presentation, then f is a universal homeomorphism iff f is finite, surjective, and purely inseparable [2, 8.11.6]. We call two schemes X and Y *universally homeomorphic* if there exists a universal homeomorphism from X to Y or from Y to X. If S is a scheme and X and Y are S-schemes, then we call X and Y universally S-homeomorphic if there exists a universal homeomorphism of S-schemes $X \to Y$ or $Y \to X$.

Proposition 3.1. *If $f : X \to Y$ is a universal homeomorphism of finite type between Noetherian $\mathbb{Q}$-schemes, then there exists a finite partition $\{Y_1, \ldots, Y_r\}$ of Y into locally closed subsets, such that, if we endow Y_i with its reduced induces structure, the morphism $(X \times_Y Y_i)_{red} \to Y_i$ is an isomorphism for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$.*

Proof. Since $f_{red} : X_{red} \to Y_{red}$ is still a universal homeomorphism [1, 2.4.3(vi)], we may assume that X and Y are reduced. By Noetherian induction, it is enough to find a non-empty open subscheme U of Y such that $X \times_Y U \to U$ is an isomorphism. In particular, we may assume that Y is irreducible. Then X is irreducible, because it is homeomorphic to Y. If we denote by η_Y the generic point of Y, then its inverse image in X consists of a unique point η_X, which is the generic point of X. The residue field $\kappa(\eta_X)$ is a purely inseparable extension of the residue field $\kappa(\eta_Y)$ of η_Y. Since these fields have characteristic zero, we see that f induces an isomorphism $\kappa(\eta_X) \cong \kappa(\eta_Y)$, so that the restriction of f to some dense open subset of X is an open immersion. This concludes the proof. $\square$

Definition 3.2. *Let S be a Noetherian scheme. We denote by I_S^{uh} the ideal in $K_0(Var_S)$ generated by elements of the form $[X] - [Y]$, where X and Y are universally S-homeomorphic separated S-schemes of finite type. We put*

$$K_0\langle Var_S \rangle = K_0(Var_S)/I_S^{uh}$$

and we call this quotient the modified Grothendieck ring of S-varieties.

For every separated S-scheme of finite type Z, we denote by $\langle Z \rangle$ the image of $[Z]$ in $K_0\langle Var_S \rangle$. We put $\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_S = \langle \mathbb{A}^1_S \rangle$, and we denote by $\mathcal{M}_S^{mod}$ the localization of $K_0\langle Var_S \rangle$ with respect to $\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_S$.

The dimensional completion $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_S^{mod}$ is the separated completion of $\mathcal{M}_S^{mod}$ with respect to the descending filtration $F^\bullet \mathcal{M}_S^{mod}$, where for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $F^i \mathcal{M}_S^{mod}$ is the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_S^{mod}$ generated by the elements of the form $\langle X \rangle \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_S^j$ with X a separated S-scheme of finite type and j an element of $\mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\dim(X/S) + j \leq -i$$

Here $\dim(X/S)$ denotes the relative dimension of X over S.

If $S = \operatorname{Spec} A$ for some Noetherian ring A, then we also write I_A^{uh}, $K_0\langle Var_A \rangle$, $\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_A$, $\mathcal{M}_A^{mod}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_A^{mod}$ instead of I_S^{uh}, $K_0\langle Var_S \rangle$, $\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_S$, $\mathcal{M}_S^{mod}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_S^{mod}$.

Let S be a Noetherian scheme, X a separated S-scheme of finite type, and C constructible subset of X. We can write C as a disjoint union of locally closed subsets $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ of X. If we endow C_i with its reduced induced structure, for every i, then the class $[C]$ of C in $K_0(Var_S)$ is defined by

$$[C] = [C_1] + \ldots + [C_r]$$

This definition does not depend on the choice of $C_1, \ldots, C_r$. We denote by $\langle C \rangle$ the image of $[C]$ in $K_0\langle Var_S \rangle$.

Proposition 3.3. *If S is a Noetherian scheme over $\mathbb{Q}$, then I_S^{uh} is the zero ideal, and the projection*

$$K_0(Var_S) \to K_0\langle Var_S \rangle$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. This follows immediately from Proposition 3.1 and the scissor relations in the Grothendieck ring $K_0(Var_S)$. $\square$

If S is not a $\mathbb{Q}$-scheme, we do not know if I_S^{uh} is different from zero. If S is the spectrum of a field F of positive characteristic, then I_S^{uh} is trivial if and only if Question 1.2 in the introduction has a positive answer.

3.2. **Base change and direct image.** The definitions of the modified Grothendieck ring $K_0\langle Var_S\rangle$ and its localization $\mathcal{M}_S^{mod}$ are compatible with base change and direct image. If $f : T \to S$ is a morphism of Noetherian schemes, then there exists a unique ring morphism

$$f^* : K_0\langle Var_S\rangle \to K_0\langle Var_T\rangle$$

such that $f^*\langle X\rangle = \langle X \times_S T\rangle$ for every separated S-scheme X of finite type. It localizes to a ring morphism

$$f^* : \mathcal{M}_S^{mod} \to \mathcal{M}_T^{mod}$$

If $g : S \to U$ is a separated morphism of finite type between Noetherian schemes, then there exists a unique morphism of abelian groups

$$g_! : K_0\langle Var_S\rangle \to K_0\langle Var_U\rangle$$

such that for every separated S-scheme X of finite type, we have $g_!\langle X\rangle = \langle X|_U\rangle$ (here $X|_U$ denotes the U-scheme obtained by composing the structural morphism $X \to S$ with the morphism g). Moreover, there exists a unique morphism of abelian groups

$$g_! : \mathcal{M}_S^{mod} \to \mathcal{M}_U^{mod}$$

such that

$$g_!(\langle X\rangle \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_S^i) = \langle X|_U\rangle \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_U^i$$

for every separated S-scheme of finite type X and every integer i.

3.3. **Fibrations.**

Lemma 3.4. *Let S be a Noetherian scheme, and let X, Y and Z be separated S-schemes of finite type. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism of S-schemes, and assume that for every perfect field F and every morphism of schemes $\operatorname{Spec} F \to Y$, there exists a universal homeomorphism of F-schemes*

$$X \times_Y \operatorname{Spec} F \to Z \times_S \operatorname{Spec} F$$

Then

$$\langle X\rangle = \langle Y\rangle \cdot \langle Z\rangle$$

in $K_0\langle Var_S\rangle$.

Proof. By Noetherian induction, it is enough to find a non-empty open subscheme U of Y such that

$$\langle X \times_Y U\rangle = \langle U\rangle \cdot \langle Z\rangle$$

in $K_0\langle Var_S\rangle$. We may assume that Y is affine and integral. We denote by B the ring of regular functions on Y. Let F be the perfect closure of the function field $Frac(B)$ of Y. We know that there exists a universal homeomorphism of F-schemes

$$g : X \times_Y \operatorname{Spec} F \to Z \times_S \operatorname{Spec} F$$

The B-algebra F is the direct limit of its finitely generated sub-B-algebras. Hence, by [2, 8.8.2 and 8.10.5], there exist a finitely generated sub-B-algebra B' of F, and a universal homeomorphism of B'-schemes

$$g' : X \times_Y \operatorname{Spec} B' \to Z \times_S \operatorname{Spec} B'$$

such that g is obtained from g' by base change from $\operatorname{Spec} B'$ to $\operatorname{Spec} F$.

Since $\operatorname{Spec} B' \to Y$ is purely inseparable over the generic point of Y, and the generic point of Y is the projective limit of the dense open subschemes of Y, it follows from [2, 8.10.5] that there exists a dense open subscheme U of Y such that

$$\operatorname{Spec} B' \times_Y U \to U$$

is a universal homeomorphism.

Looking at the diagram of universal homeomorphisms of separated S-schemes of finite type

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \times_Y (\operatorname{Spec} B' \times_Y U) \arrow[r, "g' \times_Y id_U"] \arrow[d] & Z \times_S (\operatorname{Spec} B' \times_Y U) \arrow[d] \\
X \times_Y U & Z \times_S U
\end{tikzcd}$$

we find that

$$\langle X \times_Y U \rangle = \langle U \rangle \cdot \langle Z \rangle$$

in $K_0\langle Var_S \rangle$. $\square$

3.4. **The Grothendieck ring of the theory AFC_F.** The modified Grothendieck ring arises naturally in the setting of model theory. Let F be a field, and denote by ACF_F the theory of algebraically closed fields over F in the language $\mathcal{L}_F$ of F-algebras.

Recall that formulas in $\mathcal{L}_F$ consist of quantifiers and Boolean combinations of polynomial equations with coefficients in F. For every formula $\varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ in $\mathcal{L}_F$ and every F-algebra A, we denote by $S_\varphi(A)$ the subset of A^n defined by φ.

We say that two formulas $\varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ and $\psi(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ in $\mathcal{L}_F$ are ACF_F-equivalent, if there exists a third formula $\eta(x_1, \ldots, x_m, y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ such that, for every algebraically closed field L that contains F, the set $S_\eta(L) \subset L^{m+n}$ is the graph of a bijection between $S_\varphi(L) \subset L^m$ and $S_\psi(L) \subset L^n$. We say that η defines an ACF_F-equivalence between φ and ψ.

Definition 3.5. *Let F be a field. The Grothendieck group $K_0(ACF_F)$ of the theory ACF_F is the quotient of the free abelian group on ACF_F-equivalence classes $[\varphi]$ of formulas φ in $\mathcal{L}_F$, by the subgroup generated by elements of the form*

$$[\varphi \wedge \psi] + [\varphi \vee \psi] - [\varphi] - [\psi]$$

where φ and ψ are formulas in $\mathcal{L}_F$ with the same sets of free variables.

We endow $K_0(ACF_F)$ with the unique ring structure such that, for all formulas φ and ψ in $\mathcal{L}_F$ in disjoint sets of free variables, we have

$$[\varphi] \cdot [\psi] = [\varphi \wedge \psi]$$

in $K_0(ACF_F)$.

The unit for the multiplication in $K_0(ACF_F)$ is the class of the formula $\psi = (0=0)$. For every F-algebra A, this formula defines the set $S_\psi(A) = A^0 = \{pt\}$.

If n is an element of $\mathbb{N}$, and $i_X : X \to \mathbb{A}^n_F$ is an immersion of F-schemes, then there exists a formula $\varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ such that

$$S_\varphi(L) = X(L) \subset L^n$$

for every field L that contains F. We call such a formula φ an i_X-formula. It is not unique. If Y is a quasi-affine F-variety, then we say that a formula ψ in $\mathcal{L}_F$ is a Y-formula if it is an i_Y-formula for some immersion $i_Y : Y \to \mathbb{A}^m_F$, with $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Again, such a Y-formula is not unique, but its class $[\psi]$ in $K_0(ACF_F)$ only depends on Y, and not on the choice of the immersion i_Y or the formula ψ.

Lemma 3.6. *Let F be a field. Let m and n be elements of $\mathbb{N}$, and let C and D be constructible subsets of $\mathbb{A}^m_F$, resp. $\mathbb{A}^n_F$. Assume that there exists a formula $\eta(x_1, \ldots, x_m, y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ in $\mathcal{L}_F$ such that, for every algebraically closed field L containing F, the set*

$$S_\eta(L) \subset L^{m+n}$$

is the graph of a bijection between $C(L) \subset L^m$ and $D(L) \subset L^n$. Then we have

$$\langle C \rangle = \langle D \rangle$$

in $K_0\langle Var_F \rangle$.

Proof. By quantifier elimination relative to ACF_F, there exists a unique constructible subset E of $\mathbb{A}^{m+n}_F$ such that

$$S_\eta(L) = E(L) \subset L^{m+n}$$

for every algebraically closed field L that contains F. It suffices to show that $\langle C \rangle = \langle E \rangle$ in $K_0\langle Var_F \rangle$. We denote by

$$\pi : \mathbb{A}^{m+n}_F \to \mathbb{A}^m_F$$

the projection onto the first m coordinates. By Noetherian induction on C, it is enough to prove that there exists a non-empty open subset U of C such that U is locally closed in $\mathbb{A}^m_F$, $V = \pi^{-1}(U) \cap E$ is locally closed in $\mathbb{A}^{m+n}_F$, and the morphism $V \to U$ induced by π is a universal homeomorphism if we endow U and V with the reduced induced structure.

We may assume that C is irreducible and locally closed in $\mathbb{A}^m_F$, and we endow C with its reduced induced structure. Let E' be an integral subscheme of $\mathbb{A}^{m+n}_F$ whose support is contained in E and such that the morphism $\pi' : E' \to C$ induced by π is dominant. Then π' is a quasi-finite morphism of F-varieties. Hence, there exists a non-empty open subset U of C such that, putting $V = E' \times_C U$, the morphism $V \to U$ is finite and surjective. Surjectivity implies, in particular, that $V = E \cap \pi^{-1}(U)$. It follows from our assumptions that $V \to U$ is purely inseparable, so that it is a universal homeomorphism. □

Proposition 3.7. *Let F be a field. There exists a unique ring morphism*

$$\alpha : K_0(Var_F) \to K_0(ACF_F)$$

such that, for every quasi-affine F-variety X, the image of $[X]$ under α is the class in $K_0(ACF_F)$ of an X-formula φ_X.

The morphism α is surjective, and its kernel equals I_F^{uh}, so that α factors through an isomorphism

$$K_0\langle Var_F\rangle \to K_0(ACF_F)$$

Proof. Uniqueness if clear, since the classes of affine F-varieties generate the Grothendieck ring $K_0(Var_F)$. So let us prove the existence of α.

We define the Grothendieck ring $K_0(QAff_F)$ of quasi-affine F-varieties as follows. As an abelian group, $K_0(QAff_F)$ is the quotient of the free abelian group on isomorphism classes $[X]'$ of quasi-affine F-varieties X by the subgroup generated by elements of the form

$$[X]' - [Y]' - [X \setminus Y]'$$

with X a quasi-affine F-variety and Y a closed subvariety of X. We endow $K_0(QAff_F)$ with the unique ring structure such that for all quasi-affine F-varieties X and Y, we have

$$[X]' \cdot [Y]' = [(X \times_F Y)_{red}]'$$

It follows easily from the scissor relations in the Grothendieck ring that there is a unique morphism of abelian groups

$$\beta : K_0(QAff_F) \to K_0(Var_F)$$

that maps $[X]'$ to $[X]$ for every quasi-affine F-variety X, and that β is an isomorphism of rings. It is also straightforward to check that there exists a unique ring morphism

$$\alpha' : K_0(QAff_F) \to K_0(ACF_F)$$

that maps $[X]'$ to $[\varphi_X]$ for every quasi-affine F-variety X, where φ_X is an X-formula. We can define α as $\alpha' \circ \beta^{-1}$.

Now, we show that $ker(\alpha)$ contains the ideal I_F^{uh}. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a universal homeomorphism of F-varieties. We choose a partition $\{Y_1, \ldots, Y_r\}$ of Y into locally closed subsets, and we endow Y_i with its reduced induced structure, for each i. We may assume that Y_i is affine for every i. If we put $X_i = (X \times_Y Y_i)_{red}$, then the morphism $X_i \to Y_i$ is a universal homeomorphism, X_i is affine, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} [X] &= \sum_{i=1}^{r} [X_i] \\ [Y] &= \sum_{i=1}^{r} [Y_i] \end{aligned}$$

in $K_0(Var_F)$. So it is enough to prove that $\alpha([X] - [Y]) = 0$ if $f : X \to Y$ is a universal homeomorphism of affine F-varieties. We denote by Γ_f the graph of f in $X \times_F Y$, and we choose closed immersions $i_X : X \to \mathbb{A}_F^m$ and $i_Y : Y \to \mathbb{A}_F^n$, with $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. These induce a closed immersion $i_f : \Gamma_f \to \mathbb{A}_F^{m+n}$. If we choose an i_X- formula φ_X, an i_Y-formula φ_Y and an i_f-formula φ_f, then φ_f defines an ACF_F-equivalence between φ_X and φ_Y, so that $\alpha([X]) = \alpha([Y])$.

Hence, the morphism α factors through a ring morphism

$$\gamma : K_0\langle Var_F\rangle \to K_0(ACF_F)$$

We'll show that it is an isomorphism by constructing its inverse. If $\varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ is a formula in $\mathcal{L}_F$, then by quantifier elimination relative to ACF_F, there exists a unique constructible subset C_φ of $\mathbb{A}_F^m$ such that, for every algebraically closed field L that contains F, the subsets $S_\varphi(L)$ and $C_\varphi(L)$ of L^m coincide. It follows from

Lemma 3.6 that the class $\langle C_\varphi \rangle$ of C_φ in $K_0\langle Var_F \rangle$ only depends on the ACF_F-equivalence class of φ. It is easily seen that there exists a unique ring morphism

$$\delta : K_0(ACF_F) \to K_0\langle Var_F \rangle$$

that maps $[\varphi]$ to $[C_\varphi]$ for every formula φ in $\mathcal{L}_F$. This ring morphism is inverse to γ. $\square$

4. Compatibility with realization morphisms

In this section, we'll prove that the realization morphisms from Section 2 factor through the modified Grothendieck ring.

Proposition 4.1. *Let S be a Noetherian scheme, and let X and Y be separated S-schemes of finite type such that there exists a universal homeomorphism of S-schemes*

$$f : X \to Y$$

We denote by g_X and g_Y the structural morphisms from X, resp. Y, to S.

1. *If S is the spectrum of a finite field F, then $X(F)$ and $Y(F)$ have the same cardinality. In particular, the point counting realization*
$$\sharp : K_0(Var_F) \to \mathbb{Z}$$
factors through a ring morphism
$$\sharp : K_0\langle Var_F \rangle \to \mathbb{Z}$$
2. *Let ℓ be a prime invertible on S. The natural morphism*
$$R(g_Y)_!(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \to R(g_X)_!(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$
in $D^b_c(S, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ induced by f is an isomorphism. In particular, the étale realization
$$\acute{e}t : K_0(Var_S) \to K_0(D^b_c(S, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))$$
factors through a ring morphism
$$\acute{e}t : K_0\langle Var_S \rangle \to K_0(D^b_c(S, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))$$
which localizes to a ring morphism
$$\acute{e}t : \mathcal{M}^{mod}_S \to K_0(D^b_c(S, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))$$
3. *If F is a field, and ℓ a prime number invertible in F, then the Galois realization*
$$Gal : K_0(Var_F) \to K_0(Rep_{G_F}\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$
factors through a ring morphism
$$Gal : K_0\langle Var_F \rangle \to K_0(Rep_{G_F}\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$
which localizes to a ring morphism
$$Gal : \mathcal{M}^{mod}_F \to K_0(Rep_{G_F}\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$
4. *The Poincaré polynomials $P(g_X) : S \to \mathbb{Z}[T]$ and $P(g_Y) : S \to \mathbb{Z}[T]$ are equal. In particular, the Poincaré realization*
$$P_S : K_0(Var_S) \to \mathcal{C}(S, \mathbb{Z}[T])$$
factors through a ring morphism
$$P_S : K_0\langle Var_S \rangle \to \mathcal{C}(S, \mathbb{Z}[T])$$

which localizes to a ring morphism

$$P_S : \mathcal{M}_S^{mod} \to \mathcal{C}(S, \mathbb{Z}[T, T^{-1}])$$

Proof. (1) Since f is a universal homeomorphism and F is perfect, the map

$$f(F) : X(F) \to Y(F)$$

is a bijection.

(2) By Grothendieck's spectral sequence for the composition of the functors $f_! = f_*$ and $(g_Y)_!$, it suffices to show that

$$f_*\mathbb{Q}_\ell \cong \mathbb{Q}_\ell, \tag{4.1}$$

$$R^j f_*(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) = 0 \text{ for } j > 0. \tag{4.2}$$

The isomorphism (4.1) follows immediately from the fact that f is a universal homeomorphism. The equality (4.2) follows from finiteness of f.

(3) This is a special case of point (2).

(4) By definition of the Poincaré polynomial [7, 8.12], it is enough to consider the case where S is the spectrum of a field F of characteristic $p > 0$. By [2, 8.8.2 and 8.10.5], there exist a finitely generated sub-$\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra A of F, and a universal homeomorphism

$$f' : X' \to Y'$$

of separated A-schemes of finite type, such that $f : X \to Y$ is obtained from f' by base change from $\operatorname{Spec} A$ to $S = \operatorname{Spec} F$. We denote by $g_{X'}$ and $g_{Y'}$ the structural morphisms from X' and Y' to $\operatorname{Spec} A$.

If we denote by η the generic point of $\operatorname{Spec} A$ and by $\kappa(\eta)$ its residue field, then the Poincaré polynomial $P(g_X)$, resp. $P(g_Y)$, is equal to the Poincaré polynomial of the separated $\kappa(\eta)$-scheme of finite type $X' \times_A \kappa(\eta)$, resp. $Y' \times_A \kappa(\eta)$ [7, 8.12]. Since $P(g_{X'})$ and $P(g_{Y'})$ are constructible functions on $\operatorname{Spec} A$ [7, 8.12], it suffices to show that their values coincide at all closed points of $\operatorname{Spec} A$. Hence, we may assume that F is a finite field. In this case, the result follows immediately from point (2), by definition of the Poincaré polynomial of a variety over a finite field [7, 8.1]. □

Corollary 4.2. *If F is a field, then the étale Euler characteristic*

$$\chi_{top} : K_0(Var_F) \to \mathbb{Z}$$

factors through a ring morphism

$$\chi_{top} : K_0\langle Var_F \rangle \to \mathbb{Z}$$

which localizes to a ring morphism

$$\chi_{top} : \mathcal{M}_F^{mod} \to \mathbb{Z}$$

5. MOTIVIC INTEGRATION IN MIXED CHARACTERISTIC

In this section, we will fill a gap in the proof of the change of variables theorem for motivic integrals on formal schemes in mixed characteristic [11, 8.0.5]. To this aim, it is necessary to replace the localized Grothendieck ring of varieties by the modified version introduced in Definition 3.2. We emphasize that this correction only affects the theory of motivic integration in *mixed* characteristic; in equal characteristic $p \geq 0$, the results in [11, 7.1.3 and 8.0.5] are valid as stated.

Throughout this section, we denote by R a complete discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic with quotient field K and perfect residue field k. We put $\mathbb{D} = \mathrm{Spf}\ R$. An $stft$ formal R-scheme is a separated formal R-scheme topologically of finite type.

5.1. **The change of variables formula.** We consider a morphism of formal R-schemes $h : Y \to X$, where X and Y are flat $stft$ formal R-schemes of pure relative dimension d. The proof of the change of variables theorem [11, 8.0.5] is based on the following lemma [11, 7.1.3]. For terminology and notations, we refer to [11].

Lemma 5.1 ([11], Lemme 7.1.3)**.** *Assume that the structural morphism* $Y \to \mathbb{D}$ *is smooth. Let* $B \subset Gr(Y)$ *be an* m*-cylinder, for some* $m \in \mathbb{N}$*, and put* $A = h(B)$*. Assume that* e *and* e' *are elements of* $\mathbb{N}$ *such that* $\mathrm{ord}_\pi(\mathrm{Jac})_h(\varphi) = e$ *for all* $\varphi \in B$*, and* $A \subset Gr^{(e')}(X)$*. Then* A *is a cylinder.*

Moreover, if the restriction of h *to* B *is injective, then, for all integers* $n \geq \max(2e + c_X, m + e)$*, the following properties hold.*

1. *If* φ *and* φ' *belong to* B *and* $\pi_{n,X}(h(\varphi)) = \pi_{n,X}(h(\varphi'))$*, then* $\pi_{n-e,Y}(\varphi) = \pi_{n-e,Y}(\varphi')$*,*
2. *We have* $[\pi_{n,Y}(B)] = [\pi_{n,X}(A)]\mathbb{L}^e$ *in* $K_0(Var_k)[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]$*.*

Recall that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $stft$ formal R-scheme Z, $Gr_n(Z)$ denotes the level n Greenberg scheme of Z [11, § 3]. The proof of point (2) in [11, 7.1.3] only computes the fibers

$$Gr_n(Y) \times_{Gr_n(X)} \mathrm{Spec}\, F$$

when F is a *perfect* field containing k and $\mathrm{Spec}\, F \to Gr_n(X)$ a morphism of k-schemes. Indeed, only when F is perfect, we can identify the set $Gr(X)(F)$ with the set $X(R_F)$, where

$$R_F = R \widehat{\otimes}_{W(k)} W(F)$$

is a complete discrete valuation ring that is an unramified extension of R. Then the proof of [11, 7.1.3] shows that there exists an isomorphism of F-schemes

$$Gr_n(Y) \times_{Gr_n(X)} \mathrm{Spec}\, F \cong \mathbb{A}^e_F$$

so that we can deduce from Lemma 3.4 that

$$\langle \pi_{n,Y}(B) \rangle = \langle \pi_{n,X}(A) \rangle \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}^e_k$$

in $K_0\langle Var_k \rangle$. However, we cannot conclude that the equality in point (2) of Lemma 5.1 holds. Therefore, we have to replace Lemma 5.1 by the following statement.

Lemma 5.2 (Corrected form)**.** *Assume that the structural morphism* $Y \to \mathbb{D}$ *is smooth. Let* $B \subset Gr(Y)$ *be an* m*-cylinder, for some* $m \in \mathbb{N}$*, and put* $A = h(B)$*. Assume that* e *and* e' *are elements of* $\mathbb{N}$ *such that* $\mathrm{ord}_\pi(\mathrm{Jac})_h(\varphi) = e$ *for all* $\varphi \in B$*, and* $A \subset Gr^{(e')}(X)$*. Then* A *is a cylinder.*

Moreover, if the restriction of h *to* B *is injective, then, for all integers* $n \geq \max(2e + c_X, m + e)$*, the following properties hold.*

1. *If* φ *and* φ' *belong to* B *and* $\pi_{n,X}(h(\varphi)) = \pi_{n,X}(h(\varphi'))$*, then* $\pi_{n-e,Y}(\varphi) = \pi_{n-e,Y}(\varphi')$*,*
2. *We have* $\langle \pi_{n,Y}(B) \rangle = \langle \pi_{n,X}(A) \rangle \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}^e$ *in* $K_0\langle Var_k \rangle$*.*

The statements in [11, 8.0.3] and [11, 8.0.5] (change of variables theorem) have to be modified accordingly, replacing the completed Grothendieck ring $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_k$ by the completed modified Grothendieck ring $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_k^{mod}$.

We emphasize that we do not know a counter-example to the original statement in Lemma 5.1, since we do not know if the projection morphism

$$K_0(Var_k) \to K_0\langle Var_k \rangle$$

is an isomorphism.

5.2. **Integration on rigid varieties and the motivic Serre invariant.** If R has mixed characteristic, the modification of the change of variables theorem in [11, 8.0.5] also affects the theory of motivic integration on rigid K-varieties developed in [5]. Theorem-Definition 4.1.2 in [5] should be replaced by the following statement (*only* in the mixed characteristic case; in equal characteristic $p \geq 0$, all the results in [5] are valid as stated). For terminology and notations, we refer to [5].

Theorem-Definition 5.3. *Let X be a smooth separated quasi-compact rigid variety over K, of pure dimension d. Let ω be a differential form in $\Omega^d_X(X)$.*

(1) Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a stft formal R-model of X. Then the function $\mathrm{ord}_{\varpi,\mathcal{X}}(\omega)$ is exponentially integrable on $Gr(\mathcal{X})$ and the image of the motivic integral

$$\int_{Gr(\mathcal{X})} \mathbb{L}^{-\mathrm{ord}_{\varpi,\mathcal{X}}(\omega)} d\mu$$

in $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_k^{mod}$ does not depend on the model $\mathcal{X}$. We denote it by $\int_X \omega d\mu$.

(2) Assume moreover that ω is a gauge form, i.e., that it generates Ω^d_X at every point of X, and assume that some open formal subscheme $\mathscr{U}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ is a weak Néron model of X. Then the function $\mathrm{ord}_{\varpi,\mathcal{X}}(\omega)$ takes only a finite number of values on $Gr(\mathcal{X})$, and its fibres are stable cylinders. The image of the motivic integral

$$\int_{Gr(\mathcal{X})} \mathbb{L}^{-\mathrm{ord}_{\varpi,\mathcal{X}}(\omega)} d\tilde{\mu}$$

in $\mathcal{M}_k^{mod}$ does not depend on the model $\mathcal{X}$. We denote it by $\int_X \omega d\tilde{\mu}$.

If R has mixed characteristic, the definition of the motivic Serre invariant [5, 4.5.1 and 4.5.3] has to be modified accordingly.

Theorem-Definition 5.4. *Let X be a smooth separated quasi-compact rigid K-variety, and let $\mathscr{U}$ be a weak Néron model of X. The class*

$$\langle \mathscr{U}_s \rangle \in K_0\langle Var_k \rangle / (\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_k - 1)$$

of the special fiber $\mathscr{U}_s$ of $\mathscr{U}$ only depends on X, and not on the choice of weak Néron model. We denote it by $S(X)$, and we call it the motivic Serre invariant of X.

5.3. **Further corrections to the literature.** The theory of motivic integration on formal schemes and rigid varieties in mixed characteristic has been applied in several other articles. All of them can easily be corrected, replacing the Grothendieck ring of varieties by the modified Grothendieck ring of varieties. This is harmless for the applications of the theory, since all the realization morphisms that are used factor through the modified Grothendieck ring (see Section 4). Let us indicate some of the changes that should be made (*only* in the mixed characteristic case; in equal characteristic $p \geq 0$, all the results are valid as stated).

In [8, 4.18], the last two lines of the statement should be replaced by: "$\ldots \langle \pi_n(B) \rangle = \langle \pi_n(A) \rangle \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}^e_{X_s}$ in $K_0\langle Var_{X_s}\rangle$". In [8, 4.19], the ring $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{X_s}$ should be replaced by $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{mod}_{X_s}$, and in [8, 4.20(2)], the ring $\mathcal{M}_{X_s}$ should be replaced by $\mathcal{M}^{mod}_{X_s}$. Likewise, in [8, § 6], the Grothendieck rings have to be replaced by their modified analogues. In particular, the motivic Serre invariant of a generically smooth $stft$ formal R-scheme X_∞ of pure relative dimension [8, 6.2] is well-defined in $K_0\langle Var_{X_s}\rangle/(\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{X_s} - \langle X_s \rangle)$.

In [9, § 3.2], the various Grothendieck rings should be replaced by the modified Grothendieck rings. The trace formula in [9, 5.4] remains valid, because the ℓ-adic Euler characteristic factors through the modified Grothendieck ring (Corollary 4.2).

In [10, § 5], the various Grothendieck rings should be replaced by the modified Grothendieck rings.

In Sections 4 and 5.3 of [6], the various Grothendieck rings should be replaced by the modified Grothendieck rings. The trace formula in [6, 6.4] remains valid, because the ℓ-adic Euler characteristic factors through the modified Grothendieck ring (Corollary 4.2).

In [7, § 5], in particular in Theorem 5.4 and Definition 5.5, the motivic Serre invariant should take its values in $K_0\langle Var_k\rangle/(\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_k - 1)$. The results in Sections 6 and 7 of [7] remain valid, because the Poincaré polynomial and the ℓ-adic Euler characteristic factor through the modified Grothendieck ring (Proposition 4.1(4) and Corollary 4.2).

REFERENCES

[1] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné. Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique, IV, Deuxième partie. *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.*, 24:5–231, 1965.

[2] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné. Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique, IV, Troisième partie. *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.*, 28:5–255, 1966.

[3] M. Larsen and V. A. Lunts. Motivic measures and stable birational geometry. *Mosc. Math. J.*, 3(1):85–95, 2003.

[4] Q. Liu and J. Sebag. The Grothendieck ring of varieties and piecewise isomorphisms. *to appear in Math. Z.*

[5] F. Loeser and J. Sebag. Motivic integration on smooth rigid varieties and invariants of degenerations. *Duke Math. J.*, 119:315–344, 2003.

[6] J. Nicaise. A trace formula for rigid varieties, and motivic Weil generating series for formal schemes. *Math. Ann.*, 343(2):285–349, 2009.

[7] J. Nicaise. A trace formula for varieties over a discretely valued field. *to appear in J. Reine Angew. Math.*, arXiv:0805.1323.

[8] J. Nicaise and J. Sebag. *Motivic Serre invariants of curves.* Manuscr. Math. 123(2):105–132, 2007.

[9] J. Nicaise and J. Sebag. The motivic Serre invariant, ramification, and the analytic Milnor fiber. *Invent. Math.*, 168(1):133–173, 2007.

[10] J. Nicaise and J. Sebag. Motivic Serre invariants and Weil restriction. *J. Algebra*, 319(4):1585–1610, 2008.

[11] J. Sebag. Intégration motivique sur les schémas formels. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 132(1):1–54, 2004.

[12] J. Sebag. Variations on a question of Larsen and Lunts. *to appear in Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*

KULeuven, Department of Mathematics, Celestijnenlaan 200B, 3001 Heverlee, Belgium
E-mail address: `johannes.nicaise@wis.kuleuven.be`

Université Rennes 1, UFR mathématiques, IRMAR, 263 Avenue du Général Leclerc CS 74205, 35042 Rennes Cedex, France
E-mail address: `Julien.Sebag@univ-rennes1.fr`